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Let $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\}$ denote a set of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the Sobolev inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{-1}^{3} f(x) g(x) d x+\lambda \int_{-1}^{1} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d x+\int_{1}^{3} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d x
$$

where $\lambda \geqslant 0$. If $n$ is odd and $\lambda$ sufficiently large, then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has exactly one real zero. If $n$ is even, $n \geqslant 2$, and $\lambda$ sufficiently large, then $S_{n}^{i}$ has exactly two real zeros. This result can be generalized to a more general inner product. © 1994 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. Introduction

Recently several authors studied polynomials orthogonal with respect to a discrete Sobolev inner product of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) d \psi(x)+\lambda f^{\prime}(c) g^{\prime}(c) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \geqslant 0, c \in \mathbb{R}$. For a survey of the results and a complete list of references, see [5]. Let $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\}$ denote a set of polynomials (normalized in some way) orthogonal with respect to (1.1). It has been proved that $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has at least $n-2$ different real zeros in $(a, b)$; if $n \geqslant 3$ it is possible to choose a $c \in(a, b)$ such that $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ for sufficiently large $\lambda$ has 2 complex zeros [6].

Results on the zeros of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a nondiscrete Sobolev inner product of the form

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) d \psi_{0}(x)+\int_{a}^{b} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d \psi_{1}(x)
$$

are only known for special choices of $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{1}$ from the papers of Althammer [1], Brenner [2], and Cohen [3].

Althammer considered the case

$$
(a, b)=(-1,1), \quad d \psi_{0}(x)=d x, \quad d \psi_{1}(x)=\lambda d x \quad(\lambda \geqslant 0) .
$$

Let again $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\}$ denotes the corresponding set of orthogonal polynomials. Althammer proved that $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has $n$ different, real zeros in $(-1,1)$. Cohen gave a more precise description for this situation: if $\lambda \geqslant 2 / n$, then the zeros of $S_{n}^{2}$ interlace with the zeros of $P_{n-1}$, the Legendre polynomial of degree $n-1$. Brenner proved a result similar to that of Althammer for

$$
(a, b)=(0, \infty), \quad d \psi_{0}(x)=e^{-x} d x, \quad d \psi_{1}(x)=\lambda e^{-x} d x \quad(\lambda \geqslant 0) .
$$

If $\left\{S_{n}^{i}\right\}$ is the set of corresponding orthogonal polynomials, then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has $n$ different zeros in $(0, \infty)$. Furthermore, Althammer made a remark: if

$$
(a, b)=(-1,1), \quad d \psi_{0}(x)=d x, \quad d \psi_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}10 d x, & -1 \leqslant x<0 \\ d x, & 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

then the polynomial of degree 2 has a zero in -1.08 , i.e., outside the interval of orthogonality. Althammer did not give a result for polynomials of degree $n>2$ in this situation. Brenner made a similar remark, also only for $n=2$.

It is the aim of this paper to generalize the last mentioned remark of Althammer. In order to simplify the calculations we take the interval $[-1,3]$ instead of $[-1,1]$. In Section 2 we consider the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{-1}^{3} f(x) g(x) d x+\lambda \int_{-1}^{1} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d x+\int_{1}^{3} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d x,
$$

where $\lambda \geqslant 0$. As before let $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\}$ denote the set of polynomials orthogonal with respect to this inner product. We prove:
if $n$ is even, $n \geqslant 2$, and $\lambda$ sufficiently large, then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has exactly two real zeros: one in $(1,3)$ and one in $(-3,-1)$;
if $n$ is odd, $n \geqslant 3$, and $\lambda$ sufficiently large, then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has exactly one real zero: the zero is in $(1,3)$.
So the structure of the zeros is quite different from the situation in the discrete case.

In Section 3 we show that the result of Section 2 can easily be generalized to an inner product of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle f, g\rangle= & \int_{-1}^{a} f(x) g(x) d \psi_{0}(x)+\lambda \int_{-1}^{1} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d \psi_{1}(x) \\
& +\int_{1}^{a} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d \psi_{1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1<a \leqslant \infty, \lambda \geqslant 0$. We prove that there exists an $n_{0}$, such that if $n \geqslant n_{0}$ for $S_{n}^{i}$ the assertion of Section 2 holds.

## 2. The Althammer Case

Consider the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{-1}^{3} f(x) g(x) d x+\lambda \int_{-1}^{+1} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d x+\int_{1}^{3} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \geqslant 0$. We want to study the zeros of a set of polynomials $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\}$ orthogonal with respect to this inner product. Since the zeros do not depend on the normalization, we may choose a normalization which simplifies the calculations. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, j}=\left\langle x^{i}, x^{j}\right\rangle, \tag{2.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{0}^{2}(x) \equiv 1,  \tag{2.2b}\\
S_{n}^{\lambda}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & \cdots & a_{0, n} \\
a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n-1.0} & a_{n-1,1} & \cdots & a_{n-1, n} \\
1 & x & \cdots & x^{n}
\end{array}\right| \quad \text { if } n \geqslant 1 . \tag{2.2c}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}(x)\right\}$ is a set of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the inner product (2.1). Obviously the elements in the first row and in the first column of the determinant are independent of $\lambda$. For $i \geqslant 1, j \geqslant 1$, however, $a_{i, j}$ is linear in $\lambda$. Hence $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ is a polynomial in $\lambda$ of degree (at most) $n-1$.

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{n-1}} S_{n}^{i}(x) \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqslant 2 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for large $\lambda$ the zeros of $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ are determined by those of $Q_{n}$. We have

$$
Q_{n}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & \cdots & a_{0, n}  \tag{2.4}\\
0 & b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1, n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & b_{n-1,1} & \cdots & b_{n-1, n} \\
1 & x & \cdots & x^{n}
\end{array}\right| \quad \text { if } n \geqslant 2,
$$

where $b_{i, j}=i j \int_{-1}^{+1} x^{i+j-2} d x$. Observe that the leading coefficient of $Q_{n}$ is positive. From (2.4) it follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{-1}^{3} Q_{n}(x) d x=0 \quad(n \geqslant 2)  \tag{2.5}\\
\int_{-1}^{+1} Q_{n}^{\prime}(x) x^{i} d x=0, \quad i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}, \quad(n \geqslant 2) . \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Relation (2.6) implies

$$
Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\text { const. } P_{n-1}(x) \quad \text { if } n \geqslant 2
$$

Then $Q_{n}$ has $n-1$ extremata in the zeros of $P_{n-1}$ in $(-1,1)$; outside $(-1,1) Q_{n}$ is monotonic.

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=\int_{-1}^{x} P_{n-1}(t) d t \quad \text { if } n \geqslant 1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exist $A_{n}>0$ and $B_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n} Q_{n}(x)=R_{n}(x)+B_{n} \quad(n \geqslant 2) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-4 B_{n}=\int_{-1}^{3} R_{n}(x) d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known (see, e.g., Szegö [7, (4.7.29)]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=\frac{P_{n}(x)-P_{n-2}(x)}{2 n-1} \quad \text { if } n \geqslant 2 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\left|P_{n}(x)\right|<1$ if $x \in[-1,1]$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{2}{2 n-1} \leqslant \frac{2}{5} \quad \text { if } n \geqslant 3, \quad x \in[-1,1] . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate $R_{n}(x)$ on $[1,3]$ we remark $R_{n}(1)=0$ if $n \geqslant 2$, $R_{n}^{(k+1)}(1)=P_{n-1}^{(k)}(1) \geqslant 0$ for all $k \geqslant 0$. By Taylor expansion around $x=1$, we obtain

$$
R_{n}(x) \geqslant R_{n}^{\prime}(1)(x-1)=(x-1) \quad \text { if } \quad n \geqslant 2, \quad x \in[1,3] .
$$

If $n \geqslant 3$, we have, using (2.9) and (2.10),

$$
-4 B_{n}=\int_{1}^{3} R_{n}(x) d x \geqslant \int_{1}^{3}(x-1) d x=2 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n} \leqslant-\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { if } \quad n \geqslant 3 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose $n \geqslant 3$. From (2.8), (2.11), and (2.12) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)<0 \quad \text { if } \quad x \in[-1,1] \text { and } n \geqslant 3 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)=A_{n}^{-1} P_{n-1}(x)>0$ if $x \geqslant 1$, the function $Q_{n}$ is strictly increasing on $[1, \infty]$. Then (2.5) and (2.13) imply that $Q_{n}(3)>0$. Hence $Q_{n}$ has exactly one zero in $(1,3)$.

To complete the discussion for $n \geqslant 3$, we remark that, using $Q_{n}^{\prime}=$ $A_{n}^{-1} P_{n-1}, Q_{n}$ is monotonic on ( $-\infty,-1$ ). If $n$ is even, (2.7) and (2.8) imply that $Q_{n}$ is even. Then $Q_{n}$ also has a zero in ( $-3,-1$ ). If $n$ is odd, $Q_{n}$ has only one real zero, i.e., the zero in (1,3). Direct calculation from (2.4) gives $Q_{2}(x)=$ const. $\left(x^{2}-7 / 3\right)$, so $Q_{2}$ has one zero in $(1,3)$ and one zero in $(-3,-1)$.

We have proved the following result.
Theorem. Let $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\}$ denote a set of polynomials orthogonal with respect to (2.1). Let $n \geqslant 2$.

If $n$ is odd and $\lambda$ sufficiently large, then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has exactly one real zero: the zero is in $(1,3)$.

If $n$ is even and $\lambda$ sufficiently large, then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has exactly two real zeros: one zero in $(1,3)$ and one zero in $(-3,-1)$.

Remark. We remark that for $n \geqslant 1$ and every $\lambda \geqslant 0$, we have

$$
\int_{-1}^{3} S_{n}^{\lambda}(x) d x=\left\langle 1, S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\rangle=0
$$

so $S_{n}^{i}$ always has at least one zero in $(-1,3)$.

## 3. Generalization

We generalize the results of the preceding section to the inner product

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle f, g\rangle= & \int_{-1}^{a} f(x) g(x) d \psi_{0}(x)+\lambda \int_{-1}^{+1} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d \psi_{1}(x) \\
& +\int_{1}^{a} f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x) d \psi_{1}(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1<a \leqslant \infty, \lambda \geqslant 0, \psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{1}$ are distribution functions on $[-1, a]$ such that polynomials are absolutely integrable with respect to them; we suppose that $\psi_{0}$ has at least one point of increase in $(1, a]$ and that $\psi_{1}^{\prime}$ exists on $(-1,1)$ with $\psi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \geqslant \mu>0$ on $(-1,1)$.

Define a set of polynomials $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\}$ orthogonal with respect to the inner product (3.1) as before by (2.2a), (2.2b), and (2.2c). Then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ again is a polynomial in $\lambda$ of degree (at most) $n-1$. Define $Q_{n}$ (for $n \geqslant 2$ ) by (2.3); then for $Q_{n}$ the determinantal representation (2.4) holds with

$$
a_{0, j}=\int_{-1}^{a} x^{j} d \psi_{0}(x), \quad b_{i, j}=i j \int_{-1}^{+1} x^{i+j-2} d \psi_{1}(x)
$$

Again the leading coefficient of $Q_{n}$ is positive. Now $Q_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{-1}^{a} Q_{n}(x) d \psi_{0}(x)=0 \quad(n \geqslant 2)  \tag{3.2}\\
\int_{-1}^{+1} Q_{n}^{\prime}(x) x^{i} d \psi_{1}(x)=0, \quad i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-2\}, \quad(n \geqslant 2) \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}$ denote the set of polynomials orthonormal with respect to the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, g)=\int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) g(x) d \psi_{1}(x) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the leading coefficients are positive. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=\int_{-1}^{x} \varphi_{n-1}(t) d t \quad \text { if } n \geqslant 1 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.3) that there exist $A_{n}>0$ and $B_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n} Q_{n}(x)=R_{n}(x)+B_{n} \quad(n \geqslant 2) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-B_{n} \int_{-1}^{a} d \psi_{0}(x)=\int_{-1}^{a} R_{n}(x) d \psi_{0}(x) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have, using (3.5) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for $x \in[-1,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{n}(x)\right| & \leqslant \int_{-1}^{+1}\left|\varphi_{n-1}(t)\right| d t \leqslant \sqrt{2}\left\{\int_{-1}^{+1} \varphi_{n-1}^{2}(t) d t\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \sqrt{2} \mu^{-1 / 2}\left\{\int_{-1}^{+1} \varphi_{n-1}^{2}(t) d \psi_{1}(t)\right\}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant \sqrt{2} \mu^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { if } \quad x \in[-1,1] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R_{n}$ is increasing on ( $1, \infty$ ), this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x) \geqslant-\sqrt{2} \mu^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { if } \quad x \geqslant-1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate the right-hand side of (3.7) from below, we choose a $c \in(1, a)$ such that $\int_{c}^{a}(x-c) d \psi_{0}(x) \neq 0$. We observe $R_{n}^{(k+1)}(c)=$ $\varphi_{n-1}^{(k)}(c) \geqslant 0$ for all $k \geqslant 0$. Hence, by Taylor expansion around $x=c$, we have

$$
R_{n}(x) \geqslant R_{n}(c)+R_{n}^{\prime}(c)(x-c) \quad \text { if } \quad x \geqslant c,
$$

and, using (3.9),

$$
R_{n}(x) \geqslant-\sqrt{2} \mu^{-1 / 2}+\varphi_{n-1}(c)(x-c), \quad x \geqslant c
$$

Then it follows from (3.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-B_{n} \int_{-1}^{a} d \psi_{0}(x) \geqslant & \int_{-1}^{c} R_{n}(x) d \psi_{0}(x)-\sqrt{2} \mu^{-1 / 2} \int_{c}^{a} d \psi_{0}(x) \\
& +\varphi_{n-1}(c) \int_{c}^{a}(x-c) d \psi_{0}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and with (3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-B_{n} \int_{-1}^{a} d \psi_{0}(x) \geqslant-\sqrt{2} \mu^{-1 / 2} \int_{-1}^{a} d \psi_{0}(x)+\varphi_{n-1}(c) \int_{c}^{a}(x-c) d \psi_{0}(x) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c>1$, it holds (see Freud [4, p. 120]) that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\varphi_{n}(c)} \geqslant c+\sqrt{c^{2}-1}>1
$$

Then the right-hand side of (3.10) exceeds $\sqrt{2} \mu^{-1 / 2} \int_{-1}^{a} d \psi_{0}(x)$ if $n$ is sufficiently large. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}<-\sqrt{2} \mu^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { if } n \text { is sufficiently large. } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that $n$ is so large that (3.11) holds. Then we can make the following observations. By (3.6), (3.8), and (3.11) we have

$$
Q_{n}(x)<0 \quad \text { if } \quad x \in[-1,1]
$$

By (3.6) and (3.5), $Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)=A_{n}^{-1} \varphi_{n-1}(x)>0$ on ( $1, \infty$ ), hence $Q_{n}$ is increasing on ( $1, \infty$ ). Relation (3.2) implies $Q_{n}(a)>0$ and $Q_{n}$ has one zero in ( $1, a$ ). Since $Q_{n}^{\prime}=A_{n-1}^{-1} \varphi_{n-1}$, the function $Q_{n}$ is monotonic on $(-\infty,-1)$. Then, if $n$ is odd, $Q_{n}$ has only one real zero; if $n$ is even, $Q_{n}$ has two real zeros: one in $(1, a)$ and one in $(-\infty,-1)$.

We have proved the following result.
Theorem. Let $\left\{S_{n}^{\lambda}\right\}$ denote a set of polynomials orthogonal with respect to (3.1). Then there exists an $n_{0}$, such that for $n \geqslant n_{0}$ the following holds.

If $n$ is odd and $\lambda$ sufficiently large, then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has exactly one real zero: the zero is in $(1, a)$.

If $n$ is even and $\lambda$ sufficiently large, then $S_{n}^{\lambda}$ has exactly two real zeros: one zero in $(1, a)$ and one in $(-\infty,-1)$.

Remark. The $n_{0}$ in the Theorem depends on $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{1}$. The next example shows that it is not possible to replace $n_{0}$ by an integer independent of $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{1}$.

Let $d \psi_{1}(x)=d x$ on $[-1, a]$ as in Section 2. Then

$$
R_{n}(x)=\text { const. } \frac{\left(x^{2}-1\right) P_{n-2}^{(1,1)}(x)}{2(n-1)} \quad \text { if } \quad n \geqslant 2
$$

(compare Cohen $[3,(15)]$ ). Take a fixed $n \geqslant 2$ and define

$$
V=\left\{x \in[-1, a], R_{n}(x)>0\right\}, \quad W=\left\{x \in[-1, a], R_{n}(x)<0\right\} .
$$

Then both $V$ and $W$ are not empty. Put

$$
\mu_{1}=\int_{V} R_{n}(x) d x>0, \quad \mu_{2}=-\int_{W} R_{n}(x) d x>0
$$

Finally, take a distribution function $\psi_{0}$ on $[-1, a]$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d \psi_{0}(x)=\mu_{1} d x & \text { if } \quad x \in W \\
d \psi_{0}(x)=\mu_{2} d x & \text { if } x \in V \\
d \psi_{0}(x) & \text { is continuous on }[-1, a]
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{-1}^{a} R_{n}(x) d \psi_{1}(x)=\mu_{1} \int_{W} R_{n}(x) d x+\mu_{2} \int_{V} R_{n}(x) d x=0 .
$$

Then (3.7) implies $B_{n}=0$. Hence, by (3.6), $Q_{n}$ has the same zeros as $R_{n}$, i.e., $Q_{n}$ has $n$ different real zeros in $[-1,1]$. We conclude: for every $n \geqslant 2$, there exist $\psi_{0}$ and $\psi_{1}$ such that $Q_{n}$ has $n$ different real zeros in $[-1,1]$.

Remark. The condition $\psi_{1}^{\prime}(x) \geqslant \mu>0$ on $(-1,1)$ can be weakened somewhat. Since $\varphi_{n-1}(c)$ in (3.10) is of exponential growth as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it is sufficient that there exists constants $C$ and $k$ such that

$$
\left|R_{n}(x)\right|<C n^{k} \quad \text { if } \quad x \in[-1,1] .
$$

This holds, for instance, if $\psi_{1}$ is a Jacobian measure (compare [7, p. 161]).
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